Case 14-50337 Doc 9 Filed 06/23/14 Entered 06/23/14 10:01:24 Desc Main Document Page 1 of 4

Adversary Proceeding Cover Sheet			ADVERSARY PROCEEDING NUMBER (Court Use Only)				
PLAINTIFFS Anthony Lee Darcus			DEFENDANTS Augusta Medical Center				
ATTORNEYS (F. CARLTON LEGAL S 118 MacTanly Place Staunton, VA 24401	ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN) Neal L. Walters, Esquire Scott/Kroner PLC 418 E. Water Street Charlottesville, Virginia 22902						
PARTY (Check one box only) □ 1. U. S. PLAINTIFF □ 2. U. S. DEFENDANT ☑ 3. U. S. NOT A PARTY							
		of cause of action, including a 522(h), 11 U.S.C. §547, 11 U.S.		involved)			
NATURE OF SUIT (Check the one most appropriate box only)							
□ 424 To object of discharge □ 426 To determ of a debt □ 434 To obtain equitable □ 435 To Determ or Extent Interest in	oney or Property order of confirmation or Chapt. 13 Plan claratory judgment of foregoing cause e any allowed claim cept where such is provided in a plan 458 To obtain approval for the sale both the interest of the estate and of a co-owner in property the east of action removed to a bankruptcy court 498 Other (specify)						
☑ 1. Original Proceeding	4 Transferred from Another Bankruptcy Court CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23						
DEMAND N/A	NEAREST THOUSAND \$.0.00	OTHER RELIEF SOUC	OUGHT: To recover money as prefe			ence	□ JURY DEMAND
BANKRUPTCY CASE IN WHICH THIS ADVERSARY PROCEEDING ARISES							
NAME OF DEBTOR(S) Anthony Lee Darcus			BANKRUPTCY CASE NO. 14-50337				
DISTRICT IN WHICH CASE IS PENDING			NAME OF JUDGE				
Western District of Virginia			Honorable Rebecca B. Connelly, Judge				
DIVISIONAL OFFICE	E Harrisonburg, Virginia						
		RELATED ADVERSARY	PROCEEDING	G (IF ANY)			
PLAINTIFF D		DEFENDANT	DEFENDANT		ADVERSARY PROCEEDING NO.		
DISTRICT		DIVISIONAL OFFICE		NAME OF JUDGE			
FILING FEE (Check one box only) ☐ Fee attached							
Date June 23, 2014		Signature of Attorney (Or Plaintiff) /s/ David L. Meeks					

Case 14-50337 Doc 9 Filed 06/23/14 Entered 06/23/14 10:01:24 Desc Main Document Page 2 of 4

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA HARRISONBURG DIVISION

IN RE: Anthony Lee Darcus

Debtor(s)

MOTION TO RECOVER GARNISHED EMPLOYMENT INCOME AS A PREFERENCE

ANTHONY LEE DARCUS

Plaintiff/Debtor(s) Case number: 14-50337

v.

Adversary No. _____

AUGUSTA MEDICAL CENTER

Serve:

Neal L. Walters, Esquire Scott/Kroner, PLC 418 E. Water Street Charlottesville, Virginia 22902 Defendant(s)

COMES NOW the Plaintiff/Debtor, Anthony Lee Darcus, by counsel, pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §522(h), 11 U.S.C. §547, 11 U.S.C. §550, and Bankruptcy Rule 7001, and respectfully represents unto the Court as follows:

- That this is a core proceeding and this Court has jurisdiction over this issue pursuant to 28
 U.S.C. §157 and 11 U.S.C. §1334.
- 2. That Debtor filed a petition for relief under Chapter 7 of Title 11 of the United States Code on March 28, 2014.
- 3. From January 31, 2014 through March 28, 2014, the wages of Debtor were garnished in the amount of Two Hundred Ninety Six and 51/100 (\$296.51) pursuant to a garnishment requested by creditor Augusta Medical Center and issued by the Augusta County General District Court. A copy of the garnishment is attached as Exhibit A, and a copy of the garnished paystubs are attached as Exhibit B. Therefore, as required by 11 U.S.C. 522(g), the transfer of the aforesaid money from Debtor to creditor was not voluntary by the debtor.

- 4. As required by 11 U.S.C. §522(g), the debtor did not conceal the existence of these garnished funds. The garnishment proceeding is properly listed on the Debtor's statement of financial affairs and the garnished funds properly appear on Schedule B and Schedule C of the Debtor's bankruptcy petition.
- 5. As required by 11 U.S.C. §522(h) and Va. Code §34-17, Debtor has already properly exempted the garnished funds by timely filing a homestead deed with the Circuit Court Clerk's office on April 1, 2014. A copy of the filed and recorded homestead deed is attached as Exhibit C.
- 6. As required by 11 U.S.C. §522(h), the aforesaid garnished funds of \$296.51 could have been avoided by the Trustee pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §547 because:
 - a. the transfer was for the benefit of creditor; and
 - b. the transfer was payment on an antecedent debt established by judgment of the Court entered and the transfer dates occurred between January 31, 2014 and Marcy 28, 2014; therefore, the transfer was for or on an account of an antecedent debt owed by the debtor before the transfer occurred; and
 - c. the transfer was made while the debtor was insolvent (the debtor is presumed insolvent pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §547(f)); and
 - d. the transfer of garnished funds, occurring between January 31, 2014 and March 28,
 2014 was within 90 days before the date of the filing of the bankruptcy petition which occurred on March 28, 2014; and
 - e. the transfer enables creditor to receive more than he would have received if
 - i. this case was filed under chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code; and
 - ii. the transfer had not been made; and

- iii. had received payment on this debt to the extent provided by the provisions of bankruptcy law.
- f. Debtor represents to the Court that none of the provisions of 11 U.S.C. §547(c) exist which would have prevented the Trustee to avoid the transfer of the garnished funds.
- 7. As required by 11 U.S.C. 522(h), the trustee has not attempted to avoid this transfer.
- 8. Bankruptcy Code 11 U.S.C. §550 permits Debtor to recover garnished funds which have been avoided pursuant to 11 U.S.C. 522(h).

WHEREFORE, Debtor prays that this Court enter an Order, pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §522(h) and 11 U.S.C. §550, which orders creditor to return the garnished funds of \$296.51 to the Debtor's counsel; and for such other and further relief as the Court, in equity, deems appropriate.

/s/ David L. Meeks Counsel

David L. Meeks, Esquire Carlton Legal Services, P.L.C. 118 MacTanly Place Staunton, VA 24401 (540) 213-0547 V.S.B. #65734